



Structures and $*$ -Embeddability of Commutative Semigroups with Involution of Order 3, 4, and 5

Gbrel Albkwr^{*1}, Hassan Al Hwaer², Cheng-Han Pan³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Derna, Libya

² Department of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Al-Nahrain University, Baghdad, Iraq

³ Independent Researcher, Taitung 950009, Taiwan (R.O.C.)

* gbrel.albkwr@uod.edu.ly

Received: May 11, 2026; **Accepted:** Jun 27, 2026.

Abstract—This paper investigates the $*$ -embeddability of proper $*$ -semigroups into rings with proper involution. We establish a criterion characterizing $*$ -embeddability in terms of proper $*$ -ideals of the semigroup ring $\mathbb{Z}[S]$. In particular, if the equation $XX^* = 0$ has only the trivial solution in $\mathbb{Z}[S]$, then $(S, *)$ is $*$ -embeddable in $\mathbb{Z}[S]$. We also give examples illustrating both $*$ -embeddability and the failure of $*$ -embeddability. Finally, we computationally investigate all commutative proper $*$ -semigroups of orders 3, 4, and 5, consisting of 5072 cases in total. Computation shows that 4940 cases have only the trivial solution to $XX^* = 0$, while 132 cases admit nontrivial solutions. In every nontrivial case, the computation finds a solution with coefficients in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ whose sum is 0, suggesting a general pattern for solutions of $XX^* = 0$.

Keywords—Semigroup; $*$ -Semigroup ring; $*$ -Embeddability; Involution

1. Introduction

One of the important classes of rings is the class of semigroup rings. In this investigation, we focus on a special class of semigroup $*$ -rings induced by a proper $*$ -semigroup. In particular, we are interested in the following general question: does there exist a ring R with a proper involution in which S is $*$ -embeddable?

Shehadah [1] has proved that every inverse semigroup is $*$ -embeddable into a ring with proper involution when its involution is the inverse mapping. More recently, Lee [2] proved that a finite $*$ -semigroup is embeddable into a semigroup of complex matrices with conjugate transposition if and only if it is an inverse semigroup. On the other hand, Shehadah [3] showed that a general proper $*$ -semigroup need not be $*$ -embeddable into any ring with proper involution. In particular, the natural semigroup ring $(\mathbb{Z}[S], *)$ need not be a proper $*$ -ring, as witnessed by nonzero elements $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}[S]$ satisfying $AA^* = 0, BB^* = 0$. For more research related to the question, we refer the reader to [4-7].

In this paper, we computationally investigate all commutative proper $*$ -semigroups $(S, *)$ of orders 3, 4, and 5, comprising 5072 cases in total. For each case, we determine whether the equation $XX^* = 0$ has only the trivial solution in $\mathbb{Z}[S]$. Whenever a nontrivial solution exists, our exact search finds one whose coefficients belong to $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ and sum to 0. These computations support the

conjecture that, whenever $XX^* = 0$ admits a nonzero solution in $\mathbb{Z}[S]$, it also admits a nontrivial solution with coefficients in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ summing to 0, although a proof has not yet been achieved.

To carry out this investigation, we used two Groups, Algorithms, and Programming (GAP) programs [8]. The first enumerated all commutative semigroups of orders 3, 4 and 5 with proper involution $*$. The second used these semigroups to analyze the solutions of $XX^* = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[S]$, applying sum-of-squares, modular, and exact searches to the resulting homogeneous systems.

2. P *-Semigroup and P *-Ring

Since our main goal in this paper is to answer the mentioned question for $*$ -semigroups and $*$ -rings with proper involution, we need some more definitions and facts. For more details, we refer the reader to [9-11].

Definition 2.1

Given any semigroup S , a map $*$: $S \rightarrow S$ is called an *involution* of S if

- (1) $(a^*)^* = a \quad \forall a \in S$;
- (2) $(ab)^* = b^*a^* \quad \forall a, b \in S$.

$(S, *)$ is called a $*$ -semigroup or semigroup with involution $*$.

Definition 2.2

The involution $*$ is called *proper* if $aa^* = ab^* = bb^*$ implies that $a = b \quad \forall a, b \in S$. A commutative semigroup with a proper involution $*$ is called a P *-semigroup.

Definition 2.3

Let R be a ring. An *involution* on R is a map $*$: $R \rightarrow R$ such that

- (1) $(A + B)^* = A^* + B^*$;
- (2) $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$;
- (3) $(A^*)^* = A \quad \forall A, B \in R$.

Definition 2.4

An involution $*$ on a ring R is called a *proper involution* if for every $A \in R$, $AA^* = 0$ implies $A = 0$. In this case $(R, *)$ is called a P *-ring. This is consistent with the definition of proper involution on semigroups.

An example of a P *-ring is $(\mathbb{C}, *)$, where $\mathbb{C}$ is the complex field and $*$ is the conjugate operator. Also, $(M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}), *)$ is a P *-ring, where $*$ is the Hermitian operator on the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.5

Let S be a semigroup and let R be a ring. We say that S is *embedded* in R if there is an injective map $f: S \rightarrow R$ such that $f(ab) = f(a)f(b) \quad \forall a, b \in S$. An $(S, *)$ is called **-embedded* in the $*$ -ring $(R, *)$ if the map f also satisfies $f(a^*) = (f(a))^* \quad \forall a \in S$.

Definition 2.6

Let $(S, *)$ be a $*$ -semigroup and let $(R, *)$ be a $*$ -ring. We define the *semigroup ring* as follows:

$$R[S] = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i : a_i \in R, g_i \in S, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\},$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i$ is just a formal symbol. Thus, $\sum a_i g_i = \sum b_i g_i \Leftrightarrow a_i = b_i \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Addition and multiplication are defined as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i + b_i) g_i$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i g_i \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k g_k,$$

where $c_k = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i$, which is the sum taken over all pairs (a_i, b_j) such that $g_i g_j = g_k$. Define the involution on $R[S]$ as the following:

$$\left(\sum a_i g_i \right)^* = \sum a_i^* g_i^* \quad \forall a_i \in R, g_i \in S.$$

3. P $*$ -Ideal

Given a proper $*$ -semigroup $(S, *)$, the problem is to find a proper $*$ -ring $(R, *)$ and a $*$ -embedding function $f: (S, *) \rightarrow (R, *)$.

Let $(S, *)$ be a proper $*$ -semigroup. The natural extension of $*$ to the semigroup ring $(\mathbb{Z}[S], *)$ of $(\mathbb{Z}, \text{identity})$ over $(S, *)$ may not be a P $*$ -ring.

Definition 3.1.

Let $(R, *)$ be a $*$ -ring. An ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of R is said to be a $*$ -ideal if it satisfies $\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathfrak{a}$ i.e., $a^* \in \mathfrak{a}$ if and only if $a \in \mathfrak{a}$.

We say that a $*$ -ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is proper if for any element $a \in R$, $aa^* \in \mathfrak{a}$ implies that $a \in \mathfrak{a}$. In particular, we have the following simple observation that we will leave without proof.

Proposition 3.2

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of a $*$ -ring R . Then

- (1) $\mathfrak{a}$ is a $*$ -ideal if and only if $R/\mathfrak{a}$ is a $*$ -ring with induced involution. Moreover,
- (2) $\mathfrak{a}$ is proper if and only if $R/\mathfrak{a}$ is proper.

Theorem 3.3

The following conditions are equivalent for a proper $*$ -semigroup S .

- (1) S is $*$ -embeddable into some proper $*$ -ring.
- (2) There is a proper $*$ -ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ satisfying $\mathfrak{a} \cap (S - S) = \{0\}$.

Proof

We can easily check that for an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[S]$, the composition $S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S]/\mathfrak{a}$ is injective if and only if $\mathfrak{a} \cap (S - S) = \{0\}$. Assume there is a $*$ -embedding $f: S \hookrightarrow R$ into a proper $*$ -ring R . By Proposition 3.2, f factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{f} & R \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow g & \\ \mathbb{Z}[S] & & \end{array}$$

$\mathfrak{a} := \text{Ker } g$. Since g is a $*$ -homomorphism, $\mathfrak{a}$ is a $*$ -ideal. Since $\mathbb{Z}[S]/\mathfrak{a}$ is a $*$ -subring of a proper $*$ -ring R , it is also a proper $*$ -ring. This shows that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a proper $*$ -ideal. Thus, we need to check $\mathfrak{a} \cap (S - S) = \{0\}$. Let $s, t \in S$ such that $s - t \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then $f(s) = g(s) = g(t) = f(t)$. Therefore, we get $s = t$.

Conversely, suppose there is a proper $*$ -ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ satisfying $\mathfrak{a} \cap (S - S) = \{0\}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}[S]/\mathfrak{a}$ is a proper $*$ -ring and the canonical homomorphism $S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S]/\mathfrak{a}$ is injective. $\square$

Corollary 3.4

Let $(S, *)$ be a proper $*$ -semigroup, let $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[S]$ be such that $A_1 A_1^* = 0 = A_2 A_2^*$, and $C \in \mathbb{Z}[S]$ be such that $CC^* = m_1 A_1 + m_2 A_2$ for some integers m_1 and m_2 . If $D = s_1 - s_2$ is a linear combination of $A_1, A_2, C \in \mathbb{Z}[S]$, then there is no P $*$ -ring in which S is $*$ -embeddable.

Proof

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a proper $*$ -ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[S]$. Since $A_1 A_1^* = A_2 A_2^* = 0 \in \mathfrak{a}$, we have $A_1, A_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$ as $\mathfrak{a}$ is a proper $*$ -ideal. Therefore, $CC^* = m_1 A_1 + m_2 A_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$ and again using the properness of $\mathfrak{a}$, we obtain $C \in \mathfrak{a}$. Now we conclude that $D = s_1 - s_2 \in \mathfrak{a} \cap (S - S) \neq \{0\}$. Thus, Theorem 3.3 shows that there is no P $*$ -ring in which S is $*$ -embeddable.

We have the following simple corollary, which we leave without proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.5

If $XX^* = 0$ has only trivial solution for every X in $\mathbb{Z}[S]$, then $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ is itself a proper $*$ -ring in which S is $*$ -embeddable.

4. A Counterexample on $*$ -Embeddability

The following important example shows that it is not always possible, given a semigroup S with proper involution $*$, to find a ring R with proper involution $*$ for which S is $*$ -embeddable.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$ be such that

$$S = \{s_1 = (1, 1, 1), s_2 = (-1, 1, 1), s_3 = (-1, -1, 1), s_4 = (1, -1, 1)\}.$$

Clearly, S is a semigroup under pointwise multiplication:

$$(a_1, b_1, c_1)(a_2, b_2, c_2) = (a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2, c_1 c_2)$$

Define a map $*$: $S \rightarrow S$ by $(a, b, c)^* = (a, ab, c)$. This map satisfies $(a, b, c)^{**} = (a, b, c)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [(a_1, b_1, c_1)(a_2, b_2, c_2)]^* &= (a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2, c_1 c_2)^* \\ &= (a_1 a_2, a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2, c_1 c_2) \\ &= (a_2, b_2, c_2)^* (a_1, b_1, c_1)^* \quad \forall (a_1, b_1, c_1), (a_2, b_2, c_2) \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $*$ is an involution on S . To show the properness of $*$, let $s_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1), s_2 =$

$(a_2, b_2, c_2) \in S$ be such that $s_1 s_1^* = s_1 s_2^* = s_2 s_2^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, b_1, c_1)(a_1, a_1 b_1, c_1) &= (a_1, b_1, c_1)(a_2, a_2 b_2, c_2) = (a_2, b_2, c_2)(a_2, a_2 b_2, c_2) \\ (a_1^2, a_1 b_1^2, c_1^2) &= (a_1 a_2, a_2 b_1 b_2, c_1 c_2) = (a_2^2, a_2 b_2^2, c_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$a_1^2 = a_1 a_2 = a_2^2 \quad (1)$$

$$a_1 b_1^2 = a_2 b_1 b_2 = a_2 b_2^2 \quad (2)$$

$$c_1^2 = c_1 c_2 = c_2^2 \quad (3)$$

Since $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \neq 0$, from Eq. (1) and Eq. (3) we get $a_1 = a_2, c_1 = c_2$. Substituting into Eq. (2) we get $b_1^2 = b_1 b_2 = b_2^2$. This implies $b_1 = b_2$. So $s_1 = s_2$ and hence $*$ is proper.

Table 1 shows the multiplication of S and the column under $*$ specifies the involution on S .

Table 1. Multiplication table of S with the values of the involution $*$ in the rightmost column

$\cdot$	s_1	s_2	s_3	s_4	$*$
s_1	s_1	s_2	s_3	s_4	s_1
s_2	s_2	s_1	s_4	s_3	s_3
s_3	s_3	s_4	s_1	s_2	s_2
s_4	s_4	s_3	s_2	s_1	s_4

Let $(\mathbb{Z}[S], *)$ be the $*$ -semigroup ring of $(\mathbb{Z}, \text{identity})$ over $(S, *)$.

Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i s_i$ be such that $XX^* = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} XX^* &= (x_1 s_1 + x_2 s_2 + x_3 s_3 + x_4 s_4)(x_1 s_1^* + x_2 s_2^* + x_3 s_3^* + x_4 s_4^*) = 0 \\ &= x_1^2 s_1 + x_1 x_2 s_3 + x_1 x_3 s_2 + x_1 x_4 s_4 \\ &\quad + x_2 x_1 s_2 + x_2^2 s_4 + x_2 x_3 s_1 + x_2 x_4 s_3 \\ &\quad + x_3 x_1 s_3 + x_3 x_2 s_1 + x_3^2 s_4 + x_3 x_4 s_2 \\ &\quad + x_4 x_1 s_4 + x_4 x_2 s_2 + x_4 x_3 s_3 + x_4^2 s_1 = 0 \\ &= s_1(x_1^2 + x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_2 + x_4^2) + s_2(x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_1 + x_3 x_4 + x_4 x_2) \\ &\quad + s_3(x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_1 + x_4 x_3) + s_4(x_1 x_4 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4 x_1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, let

$$\begin{aligned}f_1 &= x_1^2 + 2x_3x_2 + x_4^2 = 0 \\f_2 &= x_1x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + x_2x_4 = 0 \\f_3 &= x_1x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + x_2x_4 = 0 \\f_4 &= x_2^2 + 2x_1x_4 + x_3^2 = 0\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $f_2 = f_3$ and

$$\begin{aligned}f_1 + f_4 &= (x_1 + x_4)^2 + (x_2 + x_3)^2 = 0 \\f_2 &= (x_1 + x_4)(x_2 + x_3) = 0\end{aligned}$$

So, we get $x_1 = -x_4$, $x_2 = -x_3$, and $x_2 = \pm x_1$

$$X = t_1s_1 + t_1s_2 - t_1s_3 - t_1s_4$$

or

$$X = t_1s_1 - t_1s_2 + t_1s_3 - t_1s_4, \quad t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus, the solution of the equation $XX^* = 0$ is nontrivial in this case.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}A &= s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - s_4 \\B &= s_1 - s_2 + s_3 - s_4 \\C &= 2s_1 + 3s_2 - 3s_3 - 2s_4\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}AA^* &= (s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - s_4)(s_1 - s_2 + s_3 - s_4) \\&= (s_1 - s_2 + s_3 - s_4) + (s_2 - s_1 + s_4 - s_3) + (-s_3 + s_4 - s_1 + s_2) \\&\quad + (-s_4 + s_3 - s_2 + s_1) = 0 \\BB^* &= (s_1 - s_2 + s_3 - s_4)(s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - s_4) = 0 \\CC^* &= (2s_1 + 3s_2 - 3s_3 - 2s_4)(2s_1 - 3s_2 + 3s_3 - 2s_4) \\&= (4s_1 - 6s_2 + 6s_3 - 4s_4) + (6s_2 - 9s_1 + 9s_4 - 6s_3) \\&\quad + (-6s_3 + 9s_4 - 9s_1 + 6s_2) + (-4s_4 + 6s_3 - 6s_2 + 4s_1) \\&= -10s_1 + 10s_4 \\&= -5A - 5B.\end{aligned}$$

Let $D = C - 2A$, then $D = s_2 - s_3$. By Corollary 3.4, $(S, *)$ is not $*$ -embeddable.

5. An Example of $*$ -Embeddability

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that

$$S = \{s_1 = (1, 1), s_2 = (0, 1), s_3 = (-1, 1), s_4 = (0, -1)\}.$$

It is clear that S is a semigroup under pointwise multiplication $(a, b)(c, d) = (ac, bd)$.

Define a map $*$: $S \rightarrow S$ by $(a, b)^* = (ab, b)$. This map satisfies $(a, b)^{**} = (a, b)$ and $((a, b)(c, d))^* = (ac, bd)^* = (abcd, bd) = (c, d)^*(a, b)^*$. So $*$ is an involution on S .

We now verify that $*$ is proper. Let $s_1 = (a, b), s_2 = (c, d) \in S$ be such that $s_1 s_1^* = s_1 s_2^* = s_2 s_2^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(a, b)(ab, b) &= (a, b)(cd, d) = (c, d)(cd, d) \\ (a^2 b, b^2) &= (acd, bd) = (c^2 d, d^2) \\ a^2 b &= acd = c^2 d, b^2 = bd = d^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since b, d are nonzero, we have $a = c$ and $b = d$. Then, $(a, b) = (c, d)$, so $s_1 = s_2$. Hence $*$ is proper.

The following Table 2 shows the multiplication on S and the column under $*$ specifies the involution on S .

Table 2. Multiplication table of S with the values of the involution $*$ in the rightmost column

$\cdot$	s_1	s_2	s_3	s_4	$*$
s_1	s_1	s_2	s_3	s_4	s_1
s_2	s_2	s_2	s_2	s_4	s_2
s_3	s_3	s_2	s_1	s_4	s_3
s_4	s_4	s_4	s_4	s_2	s_4

Let $(\mathbb{Z}[S], *)$ be the $*$ -semigroup ring of S over the integers where $*$ is the involution induced by S in $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ such that $XX^* = 0$. Now, let $X = \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i s_i$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned}XX^* &= (x_1 s_1 + x_2 s_2 + x_3 s_3 + x_4 s_4)(x_1 s_1^* + x_2 s_2^* + x_3 s_3^* + x_4 s_4^*) = 0 \\ &= x_1^2 s_1 + x_1 x_2 s_2 + x_1 x_3 s_3 + x_1 x_4 s_4 \\ &\quad + x_2 x_1 s_2 + x_2^2 s_2 + x_2 x_3 s_2 + x_2 x_4 s_4 \\ &\quad + x_3 x_1 s_3 + x_3 x_2 s_2 + x_3^2 s_1 + x_3 x_4 s_4 \\ &\quad + x_4 x_1 s_4 + x_4 x_2 s_4 + x_4 x_3 s_4 + x_4^2 s_2 = 0 \\ &= s_1(x_1^2 + x_3^2) + s_2(x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 + x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_3 + x_4^2) \\ &\quad + s_3(x_1 x_3 + x_1 x_3) + s_4(x_1 x_4 + x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_4 + x_1 x_4 + x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_4) = 0\end{aligned}$$

Now, put

$$\begin{aligned}f_1 &= x_1^2 + x_3^2 = 0 \\ f_2 &= 2x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 + 2x_2 x_3 + x_4^2 = 0 \\ f_3 &= 2x_1 x_3 = 0 \\ f_4 &= 2x_1 x_4 + 2x_2 x_4 + 2x_3 x_4 = 0\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that in $\mathbb{Z}$ if $x_1^2 + x_3^2 = 0$, then $x_1 = x_3 = 0$. By substituting into f_2 , we get $x_2^2 + x_4^2 = 0$, thus $x_2 = x_4 = 0$. So, the solution of $XX^* = 0$ in $(\mathbb{Z}[S], *)$ is trivial in this case. By Corollary 3.5, we thus conclude that $(S, *)$ is $*$ -embeddable.

6. Computational Analysis

In the examples presented in Sections 4 and 5, the solutions to $XX^* = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ are either trivial or have nonzero coefficients taking only the values ± 1 . Our computational analysis using GAP programs finds such a solution in every nontrivial case among the commutative P *-semigroups of orders 3, 4, and 5. We developed a two-stage computational procedure, consisting of Algorithms 1 and 2.

Algorithm 1 generates all P *-semigroups of a prescribed finite order n . It first enumerates all commutative multiplication tables and retains those that satisfy associativity. For each associative table, every permutation of the underlying set is tested as a candidate P -involution. A permutation is accepted precisely when it satisfies $(a^*)^* = a$, $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$, together with the properness condition

$$aa^* = ab^* = bb^* \Rightarrow a = b.$$

Each pair consisting of an associative multiplication table and an accepted involution is then recorded as a P *-semigroup.

Algorithm 2 takes the output of Algorithm 1 and translates the equation $XX^* = 0$ into a system of homogeneous quadratic equations in the coefficients of X . It then applies the following steps in order:

- (1) a sum-of-squares reduction to force variables to be zero;
- (2) searches for nonzero solutions modulo 2, 3, 5, and 7;
- (3) an exact search for nonzero solutions with coordinates in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$.

If the system has only the zero solution modulo a prime p , then it has only the zero solution over $\mathbb{Z}$. Conversely, the existence of a nonzero solution modulo p does not imply the existence of a nonzero integer solution, so such a modular solution is used only to prevent an immediate triviality certification.

Algorithm 1 Generation of finite P *-semigroups

Require: A positive integer n

Ensure: All P *-semigroups of order n

```

1: Initialize an empty list of  $P$  *-semigroups.
2: Generate all symmetric  $n \times n$  multiplication tables  $T$ .
3: for each multiplication table  $T$  do
4:   if  $T$  is associative then
5:     Consider every permutation  $*$  of  $1, \dots, n$  as a candidate involution.
6:     for each permutation  $*$  do
7:       if  $(a^*)^* = a$  for every  $a$  then
8:         if  $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$  for every  $a, b$  then
9:           if  $aa^* = ab^* = bb^*$  implies  $a = b$  for every distinct  $a, b$  then
10:            Record  $(T, *)$  as a  $P$  *-semigroup.
11:          end if
12:        end if
13:      end if
14:    end for
15:  end if
16: end for
17: return the list of all recorded  $P$  *-semigroups

```

A system is classified as TRIVIAL whenever one of the first two tests proves that only the zero-integer solution is possible, and as NONTRIVIAL when the third step produces an explicit nonzero solution. Systems for which none of these steps give a conclusion are recorded as INCONCLUSIVE.

Algorithm 2 Testing triviality of $XX^* = 0$ in the semigroup ring of P *-semigroups

Require: A positive integer n and a P *-semigroup of order n
Ensure: A classification as TRIVIAL, NONTRIVIAL, or INCONCLUSIVE.

- 1: Construct the original system of equations corresponding to $XX^* = 0$.
- 2: Apply the sum-of-squares reduction to the equations.
- 3: **if** all n variables are forced to be zero **then**
- 4: **return** TRIVIAL with reason “Sum-of-squares”.
- 5: **end if**
- 6: **for** $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ **do**
- 7: Search all vectors $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ satisfying the original equations.
- 8: **if** the only solution $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ **then**
- 9: **return** TRIVIAL with reason “Mod p ”.
- 10: **end if**
- 11: **end for**
- 12: Search all vectors $\mathbf{v} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the original equations.
- 13: **if** such a vector $\mathbf{v}$ is found **then**
- 14: **return** NONTRIVIAL with reason “Exact search” and solution $\mathbf{v}$.
- 15: **end if**
- 16: **return** INCONCLUSIVE with reason “No conclusion from previous steps”.

It is important to note that the three steps in Algorithm 2 are sufficient to decide triviality for all P *-semigroups of orders 3, 4, and 5. In particular, Algorithm 2 returned no INCONCLUSIVE cases among the 5072 semigroups considered. The results are recorded in Table 3. Applying Corollary 3.5, we obtain that most of these P *-semigroups are *-embeddable in their corresponding semigroup rings $\mathbb{Z}[S]$.

Table 3. Computational classification of all 5072 commutative P *-semigroups according to the outcome of Algorithm 2

Order	P *-semigroups	TRIVIAL	NONTRIVIAL	INCONCLUSIVE
3	27	27	0	0
4	320	308	12	0
5	4725	4605	120	0

For all 5072 cases, Algorithm 2 returned either TRIVIAL or NONTRIVIAL; no case was classified as INCONCLUSIVE. In 4940 cases, the algorithm certifies that $XX^* = 0$ has only the trivial solution in $\mathbb{Z}[S]$. Hence, by Corollary 3.5, these semigroups are *-embeddable in their corresponding semigroup rings $\mathbb{Z}[S]$. In the remaining 132 cases, the algorithm identifies an explicit nontrivial solution. Consequently, the corresponding semigroup ring $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ is not a P *-ring. This observation alone does not determine whether these semigroups are P *-embeddable in some other P *-ring.

For every case classified as NONTRIVIAL, the exact search produces a nonzero solution whose coefficients lie in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ and sum to 0. Thus, in all NONTRIVIAL cases considered here, a solution with this particularly simple coefficient pattern is available.

7. Conclusion

Our computational results for commutative P *-semigroups of orders 3, 4, and 5 completely determine the triviality of $XX^* = 0$ in the corresponding semigroup rings. Of the 5072 P *-semigroups considered, 4940 are *-embeddable in $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ by Corollary 3.5, while the remaining 132 have corresponding semigroup rings that are not P *-rings.

In every nontrivial case, the exact search yields a solution with coefficients in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ whose sum is 0. This suggests the question of whether every nontrivial solution of $XX^* = 0$ admits such a representative. We leave this as a conjectural direction for future work.

It is also natural to investigate proper *-semigroups of order 6 and higher, both to test whether the observed pattern persists and to further understand the relationship between proper P *-semigroups and *-embeddability.

References

- [1] A.A. Shehadah, "Proper embeddability of inverse semigroups," *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* Vol. 34, 383-387, 1986.
- [2] E.W.H. Lee, "Embedding finite involution semigroups in matrices with transposition," *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, Elsevier. Vol. 340, 327-330, 2023.
- [3] A.A. Shehadah, "A counterexample on *-embeddability into proper *-rings," *Semigroup Forum*, Springer. Vol. 34, 121-123, 1986.
- [4] A.A. Shehadah, "Formal complexity of inverse semigroups ring," *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* Vol. 41, 343-346, 1990.
- [5] A.A. Shehadah, "n-proper maximal involutions on semigroups and rings," *Japanese Association of Mathematical Sciences*. Vol. 46, 293-296, 1997.
- [6] A.A. Shehadah, "Embedding theorems for semigroups with involution," Ph.D. Thesis. Purdue University, West Lafayette, Ind., U.S.A. 1982.
- [7] A.A. Abdelkarim, "Regular proper *-embedding of proper *-semigroups and rings," *J. Semigroup Theory Appl.*, ID: 2013:2, 2013.
- [8] The GAP Group, "GAP—groups, algorithms, and programming," Version 4.16.1, 2026.
- [9] M. Petrich, "Introduction to semigroups," Merrill Publishing Company (a division of A Bell & Howell Company), Columbus Ohio, 1973.
- [10] M.P. Drazin, "Natural structures on semigroups with involution," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* Vol. 84, 139-141, 1978.
- [11] D. Easdown, W.D. Munn, "On semigroups with involution," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Cambridge University Press. Vol. 48, 93-100, 1993.